PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

REC'D	2	4	NOV	2005
WIPO				PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

· (PCT	Article	36	and	Rule	70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	EOD EUDTUGD A C	TTON	See Ferm POT/IDE A /416		
100325.0240PCT	FOR FURTHER AC	HON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/19490	T/US04/19490 17 June 2004 (17.06.2004		03 November 2003 (03.11.2003)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): F25J 1/00, 3/00; C07C 7/00 and US Cl.: 62/620, 631, 53.2					
Applicant					
LUOR CORPORATION					
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of	of a total of 3 sheets, incl	uding this cover sheet			
•	panied by ANNEXES, co				
a. (sent to the appli	cant and to the Internation	al Bureau) a total of	5 sheets, as follows:		
/ this report		ectifications authoriz	ve been amended and are the basis of ed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16		
that goes b		international applica	ority considers contain an amendment tion as filed, as indicated in item 4 of		
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I	Basis of the report	-			
Box No. II	Priority				
	on-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial oplicability				
Box No. IV	ack of unity of invention				
	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or dustrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	ertain documents cited				
Box No. VII	ertain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report					
3 July 2005 (18.07.2005)		28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)			
ame and mailing address of the IPEA	US	Authorized officer	7/1/		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		William C. Darasia	u		
P.O. Box 1450		William C. Doerrler			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. (571) 272-3750					
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Interna	tional	applica	ation	No.

PCT/US04/19490

Box No. I Basis of the report					
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:					
the international application in the language in which it was filed.					
a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))					
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))					
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
the international application as originally filed/furnished					
the description:					
pages 1-11 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on					
pages* NONE received by this Authority on					
the claims:					
pages NONE as originally filed/furnished					
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
pages* 12-14 received by this Authority on 18 July 2005 pages* NONE received by this Authority on					
the drawings: pages 1-5 as originally filed/furnished					
pages* NONE received by this Authority on					
pages* NONE received by this Authority on					
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
the description, pages None					
the claims, Nos. None					
the drawings, sheets/figs None					
the sequence listing (specify): None					
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): None					
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed; as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
the description, pages					
the claims, Nos.					
the drawings, sheets/figs					
the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):					
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)					

11

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/19490

Novelty (N) Claims 1-20 YES Claims NONE NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-20 YES Claims NONE NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-20 YES Claims NONE NO Claims 1-20 YES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNO regasification plant with a factionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Art applicability; citations and exp	ticle 35(2) with planations sup	h regard to novelty, inventive porting such statement	step or industrial
Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed.	1. Statement			
Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims NONE NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims NONE VES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed		Claims	NONE	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-20 Claims 1-20 Claims NONE VES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-20	YES
Claims NONE 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed				
Claims NONE 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claima	1.00	NEC
2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed	muusutat Appiteatiitty (171)			
Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an LNG regasification plant with a fractionator with a feed formed from a combination of the C3 and heavier components and liquefied natural gas vapors, with the C3 components absorbing the liquefied natural gas vapor. Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed		Ciaims	NONE	110